Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/813,875	WANG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Thanh-Ha Dang	2163
All Participants:	Status of Application: <u>Pending</u>	
(1) <u>Thanh-Ha Dang</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Brian M. Hoffman (RN 39,713)</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 5 October 2009	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:	cant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 27 and 53.		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENI See Continuation Sheet	ERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. To of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa</li> </ul>	he examiner will provide a writte record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Thanh-Ha Dang/ Examiner, Art Unit 2163	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/813,875

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: A telephone call was made to the Applicant's Representative about the potential amendment to the claims in order to allow the case and the Applicant's Representative agreed with the Examiner's proposal and authorization has been given for an Examiner's Amendment.